Regulatory Analysis Form	INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION						
(Completed by Promulgating Agency)	RECEIVED						
(All Comments submitted on this regulation will appear on IRRC's websit	e)						
(1) Agency	Independent Regulatory Review Commission						
Pennsylvania Milk Board	August 28, 2024						
(2) Agency Number: 47							
Identification Number: 21	IRRC Number: 3414						
(3) PA Code Cite: 7 Pa. Code Chapter 150							
(4) Short Title: Milk Marketing Fees							
(5) Agency Contacts (List Telephone Number and Email Address):							
Primary Contact: Doug Eberly, 717-836-3115, deberly@pa.gov Secondary Contact: Betsy Albright, 717-772-2752, elabright@pa.gov							
(6) Type of Rulemaking (check applicable box):							
X Proposed Regulation	Emergency Certification Regulation;						
☐ Final Regulation ☐ Final Omitted Regulation	Certification by the GovernorCertification by the Attorney General						
(7) Briefly explain the regulation in clear and nontechnical language. (100 words or less)							
7 Pa. Code section 150.12 is proposed to be amended to increase the per hundredweight license fees paid by milk dealers.							
7 Pa. Code section 150.22 is proposed to be amended to increase one tier of the subdealer quart-equivalent license fee because that tier was inadvertently omitted when subdealer license fees were updated effective with the 2020-2021 license year.							
(8) State the statutory authority for the regulation. Inc	lude specific statutory citation.						
The Milk Marketing Fee Act, 31 P.S. section 700k-1 et. seq., provides that the Board shall charge and collect license fees. Section 700k-3(c) provides the Board authority to establish dealer and subdealer fees by regulation.							
(9) Is the regulation mandated by any federal or state law or court order, or federal regulation? Are there any relevant state or federal court decisions? If yes, cite the specific law, case or regulation as well as, any deadlines for action.							
Milk Marketing Fees are mandated by the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Fee Act. They are not mandated by any federal law or regulation or any court decision.							

(10) State why the regulation is needed. Explain the compelling public interest that justifies the regulation. Describe who will benefit from the regulation. Quantify the benefits as completely as possible and approximate the number of people who will benefit.

Per the Pennsylvania Milk Marketing Law (31 P.S. section 700j-101 et. seq.) the Board regulates the economics of milk transactions in Pennsylvania. The Board is almost entirely funded through license fees paid by the regulated community, with approximately 98% of the Board's receipts coming from license fees. All funds collected or received by the Board are paid into the State Treasury and placed in the Milk Marketing Fund (31 P.S. section 700j-1101) and used to pay the Board's expenses (31 P.S. section 700j-1102). The Board has not received a general fund appropriation since the 1996-1997 fiscal year.

The Fund's balance at the end of the 2023-2024 license year is projected to be approximately \$4,391,000.00, with receipts less disbursements of \$76,000.00. If the requested fees become effective with the 2025-2026 license year, we still project receipts decreasing almost 5% through the 2028-2029 license year. We further project personnel and operating costs increasing over 7% during the same period. This will lead to an operating deficit of over \$275,000.00 during the 2028-2029 license year, with a projected Milk Marketing Fund balance of \$4,157,200.00 at the end of the license year.

The Board was established by the Legislature with "the power to supervise, investigate and regulate the entire milk industry of this Commonwealth " (31 P.S. section 700j-301) The Board establishes minimum producer, wholesale, and retail milk prices. The Board assures timely and correct payment to milk producers. The Board enforces the Pennsylvania Milk Producers' Security Act, which requires buyers of producer milk to post security to ensure producers are paid for their milk. All Pennsylvanians benefit from the Board's existence, because it helps to provide stability and security to the dairy industry in Pennsylvania, which contributes more than \$11.8 billion annually to Pennsylvania's economy and supports 47,000 jobs.

(11) Are there any provisions that are more stringent than federal standards? If yes, identify the specific provisions and the compelling Pennsylvania interest that demands stronger regulations.

There are no applicable federal standards.

(12) How does this regulation compare with those of the other states? How will this affect Pennsylvania's ability to compete with other states?

Pennsylvania has the most comprehensive economic milk regulation program in the country. Only two other states (Maine and North Dakota, both of which produce much less milk than Pennsylvania) regulate producer, wholesale, and retail prices. Therefore, other states may have lower fees.

However, this regulation is not expected to negatively affect Pennsylvania's ability to compete with other states. This regulation will impact milk dealers and milk cooperatives. During informal discussions with dealers and cooperatives there has been no indication of opposition to the increase. During the public hearing to consider the fee increase no testimony was provided in opposition.

Milk dealers and milk cooperatives that would be impacted by the fee increase have substantial local, regional, and national interests that would be adversely impacted if the regulation made Pennsylvania less competitive. Their non-opposition demonstrates that this regulation is not expected to be disadvantageous for Pennsylvania.

The milk subdealer quart-equivalent fee increase will affect four subdealers. Their fees will increase \$50.00 per year. There has been no indication that the subdealer fee increase that was effective for the 2020-2021 license year has adversely affected any subdealer's ability to compete with other states. Updating the volume tier that was omitted from the 2020-2021 increase should have no adverse impact.

(13) Will the regulation affect any other regulations of the promulgating agency or other state agencies? If yes, explain and provide specific citations.

No

(14) Describe the communications with and solicitation of input from the public, any advisory council/group, small businesses and groups representing small businesses in the development and drafting of the regulation. List the specific persons and/or groups who were involved. ("Small business" is defined in Section 3 of the Regulatory Review Act, Act 76 of 2012.)

The Board held a public hearing on April 3, 2024, regarding the requested fee increases. Betsy Albright, the Board Secretary, provided a statement supporting the proposed amendments. Representatives of the Pennsylvania Association of Dairy Cooperatives (PADC) and the Pennsylvania Association of Milk Dealers (PAMD) attended the hearing. Cooperatives and dealers each pay approximately 50% of the per hundredweight license fees collected by the Board. Neither opposed the increases.

Secretary Albright also spoke to the members of the Pennsylvania Association of Milk Dealers at two of their member meetings. No individual dealer indicated opposition to the fee increases.

(15) Identify the types and number of persons, businesses, small businesses (as defined in Section 3 of the Regulatory Review Act, Act 76 of 2012) and organizations which will be affected by the regulation. How are they affected?

The regulation will impact the 200-205 licensed milk dealers and four of the licensed subdealers.

NAICS codes applicable to the businesses affected are 112210 (Dairy Cattle and Milk Production), 311351 (Chocolate and Confectionery Manufacturing from Cacao Beans), 311511 (Fluid Milk Manufacturing), 311512 (Creamery Butter Manufacturing), 311513 (Cheese Manufacturing), 311514 (Dry, Condensed, and Evaporated Dairy Product Manufacturing), and 311520 (Ice Cream and Dessert Manufacturing).

The four subdealers are small businesses.

Of the milk dealers, the vast majority are small businesses. The largest dairy cooperatives (Dairy Farmers of America, Land O' Lakes, Lanco-Pennland, Maryland & Virginia Milk Producers Cooperative) are not small businesses. The largest dairy manufacturers and distributors (Hershey, Saputo, Nestle, Chobani) are not small businesses overall; however, individual plant locations may not exceed the number of employees threshold to not be a small business.

All of the affected licensees currently pay the same per hundredweight license fee and all will pay the same per hundredweight license fee if the regulation is adopted.

(16) List the persons, groups or entities, including small businesses, that will be required to comply with the regulation. Approximate the number that will be required to comply.

As noted in item 15 above, all licensed milk dealers and four licensed milk subdealers will be required to comply with the regulation.

Given normal industry turnover, in the neighborhood of 200-205 milk dealers are licensed at any given time. Approximately 190-195 of the milk dealers are small businesses.

(17) Identify the financial, economic and social impact of the regulation on individuals, small businesses, businesses and labor communities and other public and private organizations. Evaluate the benefits expected as a result of the regulation.

The impact of the regulation will be financial.

Subdealer fees will increase for the four affected businesses \$50.00 each annually.

The universe of milk dealers will pay a combined total of \$299,925.00 more in license year 2025-26 than under the current fee structure. Because we project declining volumes of price-controlled milk beyond 2025-26, the combined total of additional milk dealer fees will decrease to \$201,453.00 by license year 2028-29.

The benefit is the continued functioning of the Milk Board. The Board is "the instrumentality of the Commonwealth for the purpose of administering the provisions of [the Milk Marketing Law] and to execute the legislative intent [of the Milk Marketing Law] . . ." and is "vested with power to supervise, investigate and regulate the entire milk industry" of Pennsylvania. The Board is funded through license fees. Without adequate license fee levels, the Board cannot function. Item 10 above provides further detail regarding benefits.

(18) Explain how the benefits of the regulation outweigh any cost and adverse effects.

As stated in more detail in item 10, the Board's activities help provide stability and security for the multi-billion dollar Pennsylvania dairy industry. This fee increase will help assure the agency can continue to carry out the functions delegated to it by the legislature.

(19) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to the **regulated community** associated with compliance, including any legal, accounting or consulting procedures which may be required. Explain how the dollar estimates were derived.

The direct cost to the regulated community to comply with the regulation will be and additional \$300,125.00 (\$299,925 for dealers and \$200 for subdealers) in license fees in the 2025-26 license year. As noted above, this will decrease to \$201,653.00 in additional license fees by the 2029-2029 license year.

All of the affected dealers and subdealers already pay license fees, so there should be no additional cost or saving to the regulated community to comply with the regulation.

(20) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to the **local governments** associated with compliance, including any legal, accounting or consulting procedures which may be required. Explain how the dollar estimates were derived.

No costs or savings to local governments are anticipated.

(21) Provide a specific estimate of the costs and/or savings to the **state government** associated with the implementation of the regulation, including any legal, accounting, or consulting procedures which may be required. Explain how the dollar estimates were derived.

No costs or savings to state government are anticipated because the agency is already assessing and collecting these fees.

(22) For each of the groups and entities identified in items (19)-(21) above, submit a statement of legal, accounting or consulting procedures and additional reporting, recordkeeping or other paperwork, including copies of forms or reports, which will be required for implementation of the regulation and an explanation of measures which have been taken to minimize these requirements.

No additional legal, accounting, or consulting procedures will be required. No additional reporting, recordkeeping, or other paperwork will be required.

(22a) Are forms required for implementation of the regulation?

The Board licenses approximately 200-205 milk dealers at any given time. 16 of those licensees file monthly paper reports, the remainder submit monthly electronic reports. These reports will not change. The reports currently do not note the per hundredweight license fees, or indeed any license fees, and will not note the new license fees. The Board's Milk Accounting and Regulatory System calculates annual per hundredweight license fees based on the submitted information.

(22b) If forms are required for implementation of the regulation, attach copies of the forms here. If your agency uses electronic forms, provide links to each form or a detailed description of the information required to be reported. Failure to attach forms, provide links, or provide a detailed description of the information to be reported will constitute a faulty delivery of the regulation.

Each year with license renewals, the Board provides a "dealer fee calculation sheet" so that licensees may see how we calculated their license fees. This calculation sheet notes the per hundredweight fee rates. This sheet is attached.

New milk dealer licensees pay a monthly license fee until they have been licensed for one full calendar year (at which time they begin to pay an annual license fee based on a calander year's activity). The

"monthly dealer license fee calculation sheet" notes the per hundredweight fee rates. This sheet is attached.

Finally, the subdealer fee tiers are part of the online renewal application. A copy of this page is attached.

(23) In the table below, provide an estimate of the fiscal savings and costs associated with implementation and compliance for the regulated community, local government, and state government for the current year and five subsequent years.

•	Current FY Year	FY +1 Year	FY +2 Year	FY +3 Year	FY +4 Year	FY +5 Year	
SAVINGS:	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Regulated Community	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Local Government	0	0	0	0	0	0	
State Government	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	
COSTS:							
Regulated Community	0	300,125	266,296	233,482	201,653	Not calculated	
Local Government	0	0	0	0	0	0	
State Government	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Costs		300,125	266,296	233,482	201,653	Not calculated	
REVENUE LOSSES:							
Regulated Community	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Local Government	0	0	0	0	0	0	
State Government	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Revenue Losses	0	0	0	0	0	0	

(23a) Provide the past three year expenditure history for programs affected by the regulation.

Program	FY -3	FY -2	FY -1	Current FY
Milk Board	\$2,570,000	\$2,407,000	\$2,450,000	\$2,840,000
Personnel and				
Operating				

- (24) For any regulation that may have an adverse impact on small businesses (as defined in Section 3 of the Regulatory Review Act, Act 76 of 2012), provide an economic impact statement that includes the following:
 - (a) An identification and estimate of the number of small businesses subject to the regulation.
 - (b) The projected reporting, recordkeeping and other administrative costs required for compliance with the proposed regulation, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record.
 - (c) A statement of probable effect on impacted small businesses.
 - (d) A description of any less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the proposed regulation.
- (a) We estimate that 190-195 small business will be subject to the regulation at any given time.
- (b) The affected licensees currently pay these license fees. The fees are being increased, but no additional reporting, recordkeeping, or other administrative costs will be necessary to comply.
- (c) Impacted small businesses will pay a higher license fee.
- (d) There are no less intrusive or less costly alternative methods to achieve the purpose of the proposed regulation. The Board is funded by license fees. To remain functional the Board must occasionally increase license fees.
- (25) List any special provisions which have been developed to meet the particular needs of affected groups or persons including, but not limited to, minorities, the elderly, small businesses, and farmers.

The fee increases have been kept as limited as possible while still providing better financial viability for the agency.

(26) Include a description of any alternative regulatory provisions which have been considered and rejected and a statement that the least burdensome acceptable alternative has been selected.

The Milk Marketing Fee Act requires the Board to assess license fees to fund its operations. Those fee increases have been kept as limited as possible while still providing better financial viability for the agency. The least burdensome acceptable alternative has been selected.

- (27) In conducting a regulatory flexibility analysis, explain whether regulatory methods were considered that will minimize any adverse impact on small businesses (as defined in Section 3 of the Regulatory Review Act, Act 76 of 2012), including:
 - a) The establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
 - b) The establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
 - c) The consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
 - d) The establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational

- standards required in the regulation; and
- e) The exemption of small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the regulation.
- (a), (b), and (c). The compliance and reporting requirements relevant to license fees are part of the required Milk Dealer Monthly Report, which the Board uses to ensure producers are paid timely and accurately. The Milk Dealer Monthly Report also contains information necessary to carry out the Board's minimum price setting responsibilities. The information needed to calculate license fees is already collected for other reasons.
- (d). There are no relevant performance standards.
- (e). The per hundredweight license fees are based on volume. The smaller the business, the smaller its fee. Therefore no exemptions are included in the proposed regulations.
- (28) If data is the basis for this regulation, please provide a description of the data, explain <u>in detail</u> how the data was obtained, and how it meets the acceptability standard for empirical, replicable and testable data that is supported by documentation, statistics, reports, studies or research. Please submit data or supporting materials with the regulatory package. If the material exceeds 50 pages, please provide it in a searchable electronic format or provide a list of citations and internet links that, where possible, can be accessed in a searchable format in lieu of the actual material. If other data was considered but not used, please explain why that data was determined not to be acceptable.

The Board used its own data accumulated through required and audited licensee reports to project future receipts. The Board used historical and new union contracts to forecast future costs. The spreadsheet is attached.

(29) Include a schedule for review of the regulation including:

A. The length of the public comment period:

30 days

B. The date or dates on which any public meetings or hearings will be held:

April 3, 2024

C. The expected date of delivery of the final-form regulation:

November 8, 2024

D. The expected effective date of the final-form regulation:

July 1, 2025

E. The expected date by which compliance with the final-form regulation will be required:

July 1, 2025

F. The expected date by which required permits, licenses or other approvals must be obtained:

July 1, 2025

(30) Describe the plan developed for evaluating the continuing effectiveness of the regulations after its implementation.

The Board will continue to closely monitor it finances, including the impact of these fee increases, and the Board's careful stewardship of its finances will continue.

						ree unchanged Decrease of 5 banlers every two years (est) Fee inchanged	ع د مدار المستمر المست	vear fee unchanged	5,00					.25% 2025, 2% 2026						Δ.	ars	2021-22 199	163	162	162 190	162 190
FYE 28-29	4,432,555			557,137 Fee unchanged	32,000 Fee unchanged	22,500 Fee unchanged 5 005 Decrease of 5 bander	7,000 Fee inchanged		800 Fee unchanged	3,600 Fee unchanged	5,000	44,326 Decrease in rates	2,775,334	2,583,202 2% increase 2024, 2.25% 2025, 2% 2026	467,486	3,050,688	(275,354.16)	4,157,200.90	FYE 28-29	1,372,198,583 3% decrease annually 0.075 14,614,336,568 0.007	11,142,730,000 Average of last 2 years 0.005					
FYE 27-28	4,575,568		2,098,596	557,137	32,000	5,005	2 900	30.625	800	3,600	2,000	45,756	2,808,918	2,495,847	456,084	2,951,931	(143,013.03)	4,432,555.07	FYE 27-28	1,414,637,714 0.075 14,614,336,568 0.007	11,142,730,000 0.005					
FYE 26-27	4,587,841		2,131,410	557,137	32,000	5 180	001,5	30.950	800	3,600	2,000	68,818	2,865,294	2,432,606	444,960	2,877,567	(12,272.58)	4,575,568.09	FYE 26-27	1,458,389,396 0.075 14,614,336,568 0.007	11,142,730,000 0.005					
FYE 25-26	4,472,183		2,165,239	557,137	32,000	22,500	001,0	31.250	800	3,600	2,000	62,083	2,897,688	2,347,923	434,108	2,782,030	115,657.75	4,587,840.67	FYE 25-26	1,503,494,223 0.075 14,614,336,568 0.007	11,142,730,000 0.005					
FYE 24-25	4,391,000		1,865,314	557,137	32,000	5 530	066,6	32.525	990	3,810	2,000	87,820	2,620,525	2,115,823	423,520	2,539,343	81,182.93	4,472,182.93	FYE 24-25	1,549,994,044 0.060 14,614,336,568 0.006	11,142,730,000 0.005	225	017	158	158 158	158
FYE 23-24	Forecast 4,315,000		2,072,547	588,260	79,097	22,500	006 2	30.647	828	3,866	2,000	150,000	2,916,000	2,404,000	436,000	2,840,000	76,000	4,391,000	FYE 23-24	1,597,932,004 0.060 14,614,336,568 0.006	11,142,730,000	100.00	0000	20.00	35.00	50.00 35.00
Milk Board Budget	Beginning Cash Balance	Receipts	Dealer - Cwt Fees	Hauler - Cwt Fees	Subdealer - Quarts Fees	Dealer - Fixed Fees Hauler - Fixed Fees	Subdealer - Fixed Fees	Weigher/Sampler - Fixed Fees	Tester - FixedFees	Examination Fees	Fines/Other Fees	Interest	TOTAL Receipts	Salary & Benefits	Total Operating	Total Personnel & Operating	Receipts less Disbursements	Ending Cash Balance	Receipts Detail	Controlled LBS Controlled Rate/Cwt Uncontrolled LBS Uncontrolled Rate/Cwt	Hauler LBS Hauler Rate/Cwt Sub-dealer Qt Fee % Increase	Fixed Fees per Dealer	Fired Foot now Cub doolor	Fixed Fees per Sub-dealer	Fixed Fees per Sub-dealer Fixed Fees per Hauler	Fixed Fees per Sub-dealer Fixed Fees per Hauler

PENNSYLVANIA MILK BOARD

License Fee Calculation

LICENSING YEAR: 2024

Year		Total	Deductions	Basis for Calculation	Controlled Milk	Non Controlled Milk
2023	JAN	0	0	0	0	0
	FEB	0	0	0	0	0
	MAR	0	0	0	0	0
	APR	0	0	0	0	0
	MAY	0	0	0	0	0
	NOC	0	0	0	0	0
	JUL	0	0	0	0	0
	AUG	0	0	0	0	0
	SEP	0	0	0	0	0
	OCT	0	0	0	0	0
	NOV	0	0	0	0	0
	DEC	0	0	0	0	0
	Totals	0	0	0	0	0
	Fixe	Fixed Fee:		\$100.00		
	Controlled Fee:	d Fee:	00 90:0\$ X 0	\$.0750		
	Non Controlled Fee:	d Fee:	0 X \$0.0064	\$.0071		
	Total Fee for Location:	ation:		\$100.00		

Pennsylvania Milk Board Monthly License Fee Calculation

alers
ed de
licens
newly
for

Posiler License #: Doesler Name: Downwith Year: Doesler Name: Downwith Year: Downwith Y
ERS MUST SUBM LICENSE YEAR. AFTER THAT, TH AFTER THAT, TH ANOTE: Lin ANOTE: Lin A If ADP A If ADP C Net Effe C Net Effe C Net Effe C Net COC Basis fo Basis fo Non-Co Total Li
Dealer License #: WLY LICENSED DEALERS M LICENSED DEALERS M AFTE AFTE 1. Part 1 2. Part 2 3. Part 2 5. Part 2 7. Part 2 7. Part 5 6 (a). Part 5 7. Part 5 9. Part 3 9. Part 3

PENNSYLVANIA MILK BOARD

Sub-Dealer Renewal License Application

Financial Schedule

License Fees

- A. Renewal licensees pay an Annual Fixed Fee of \$50.00 (due with application).
- **B. Quarts Equivalent Fee**: In addition to the Annual Fixed Fee, a subdealer shall pay, on an annual basis, a fee on milk and products on which the Board sets prices. The quart-equivalent fee shall be assessed in accordance with the following schedule.

Average Quarts Sold Per Month	Annual Fee
0-29999	\$62.50
30000-59999	\$125.00
60000-119999	\$187.50
120000-149999	\$200.00 250.00
150000-199999	\$312.50
200000-299999	\$375.00
300000-399999	\$500.00
400000-599999	\$625.00
600000-799999	\$1,000.00
800000-999999	\$1,500.00
1000000-9999999999	\$1,750.00

C. Calculate Your License Fee

1. Annual Fixed Fee \$50.00

2. Annual Quart-Equivalent Fee

1

based on actual quarts sold per month of

Total License Fee Due With Application

Computation of Subdealer Bond

As a new applicant you MUST complete this section if a bond is required.

A. Enter dollar values in the following table by estimating the **anticipated** purchases of "price-controlled packaged products" for each month, starting with the month you **anticipate** you will be starting your business.

Price-controlled packaged products are Class I and Class II milk products that are listed on the PMMB monthly price sheets and sold in Pennsylvania.

□ Bond not required

Bond Amount Required (from Bond calculation report):

If a bond is required a copy must be attached in the attachment section of this application. Applications will not be processed without a copy attached. The original bond must be mailed in.

You will also be required to upload a copy at the end of this application.



FISCAL NOTE FOR DOCUMENTS FILED WITH THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

August 1, 2024

Agency: Pennsylvania Milk Board

Agency Identification Number: 47-21

Subject of Regulation: Milk Marketing Fees

Pursuant to Section 612 of the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L. 177, No. 175), known as the Administrative Code of 1929, I am submitting the following fiscal note for publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* to accompany this notice of regulatory action or administrative procedure.

FISCAL NOTE AS REQUIRED BY SECTION 612

Administrative Code of 1929
(See also 4 Pennsylvania Code § 7.231, et seq. [9 Pennsylvania Bulletin])

This action will not result in a loss of revenue or an increase in program costs to the Commonwealth or its political subdivisions.

Milk dealers are projected to pay a combined total of \$299,925.00 more in license year 2025-26 than under the current fee structure. Because the Milk Board is projecting declining volumes of price-controlled milk beyond 2025-26, the combined total of additional fees will decrease to \$201,453.00 by license year 2028-29.

The Secretary of the Budget recommends adoption of this regulatory action or administrative procedure.

Secretary of the Budget

Eric A. Dice for

FACE SHEET FOR FILING DOCUMENTS WITH THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

(Pursuant to Commonwealth Documents Law)

RECEIVED

Independent Regulatory Review Commission

August 28, 2024

	DO NOT N	WRITE IN THIS SPACE
Copy below is hereby approved as to form and legality. Attorney General	Copy below is here by certified to be a true and correct copy of a document issued, prescribed or promulgated by:	Copy below is hereby approved as to form and legality. Executive or Independent Agencies.
Amy M. Digitally signed by Amy M. Elliott Disc. craAmy M. Elliott, DePennsylvania Office of Attorney General, our Chief Deputy Attorney General Office of Attorney General Office of Attorney General Deputy Attorney General OEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL)	Pennsylvania Milk Board (AGENCY)	BY: Douglas L. Eberly, Chief Counsel
7/29/2024 DATE OF APPROVAL	DOCUMENT/FISCAL NOTE NO. 47-21 DATE OF ADOPTION: July 5, 2024 BY: Slizabeth Albright	DATE OF APPROVAL
Check if applicable Copy not approved. Objections attached.	BY: Elizabeth Albright TITLE_SECRETARY	(Chief Counsel, Independent Agency) (Strike inapplicable title) Check if applicable. No Attorney General approval or objection within 30 days after submission.

Preamble

Pennsylvania Milk Board

[7 Pa. Code Ch. 150]

Milk Marketing Fees

The Pennsylvania Milk Board (Board) proposes to amend Chapter 150 (relating to milk marketing fees) by increasing the license fees for milk dealers and one group of subdealers.

A. Effective Date

This proposed rulemaking will be effective upon final form publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*. There is no sunset provision.

B. Statutory Authority

The Milk Marketing Fee Act (31 P.S. sec. 700k-1 et. Seq.) gives the Board the authority to impose and collect license fees. Sections 700k-3 addresses milk dealer and milk subdealer fees generally. Section 700k-4 address milk dealer license fees for milk dealers not engaged in the milk business at the commencement of the license period.

C. Purpose and Explanation

The Board is self-funded, primarily by license fees. The Board has not received any general fund appropriation since the 1996-97 fiscal year. License fees and other funds received by the Board are paid into the State Treasury and placed in the Milk Marketing Fund (Fund). Despite the Board's careful stewardship, expensed have increased and are projected to continue to increase, while income is not. The Fund is being eroded by the resulting deficits. Without this fee increase, the Board's financial viability will become uncertain.

D. Description of the Amendments

The Board licenses approximately 200-205 milk dealers at any given time, with normal industry turnover. This amendment increases the per hundredweight fee milk dealers pay (the fixed license fee remains unchanged). The fee for price-controlled milk will increase from \$0.060 to \$0.075 per hundredweight. The fee for non-price-controlled milk will increase from \$0.0064 to \$0.0071 per hundredweight.

The Board licenses approximately 140-150 milk subdealers at any given time, with normal industry turnover. Subdealers pay a fixed license fee, which remains unchanged. Subdealers also pay a volume-based fee (designated as the "quart-equivalent fee"). When the Board last amended the subdealer quart-equivalent fee for license year 2020-21, one volume tier was inadvertently omitted. The amendment will impact four subdealers, increasing their quart-equivalent fee by \$50.00 annually.

E. Fiscal and Administrative Impact

Milk dealers and four milk subdealers are the persons and parties affected by this proposed rulemaking.

The fee increase will result in additional revenue to the Board. The milk dealers are projected to pay a combined total of \$299,925.00 more in license year 2025-26, \$266,096.00 more in license year 2026-27, \$233,282.00 more in license year 2027-28, and \$201, 453.00 in license year 2028-29. The decreases in increased license fees are due to projected declining volumes of price-controlled milk.

The milk subdealers will pay \$50.00 more, for a combined total of \$200.00 in license year 2025-26 and subsequent license years.

These fees are not new fees – they are increases in existing fees. Therefore the administrative impact is not expected to be significant.

F. Public Hearing

A public hearing was held on April 3, 2024. Notice of the hearing was published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, posted on the Board's website, and sent to those who have requested emailed notification of Board hearings.

The hearing was attended by the Board and Board Staff, an attorney representing the Pennsylvania Association of Dairy Cooperatives, and the Executive Director of the Pennsylvania Association of Milk Dealers.

The Board Secretary testified regarding the need for the fee increase. There was no other testimony.

G. Regulatory Review

Under section 5(g) of the Regulatory Review Act, the IRRC may convey any comments, recommendations, or objections to the proposed rulemaking within 30 days after the close of the public comment period. The comments, recommendations, or objections must specify the regulatory review criteria which have not been met. The Regulatory Review Act specifies detailed procedures for review, prior to final-form publication of this proposed rulemaking, by the Board, the General Assembly, and the Governor of comments, recommendations, or objections raised.

H. Public Comments and Contact Person

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions, support, or objections about this proposed rulemaking to the Board, to the attention of Doug Eberly, Chief Counsel, Pennsylvania Milk Board, 2301 N. Cameron Street, Harrisburg, PA 17110, or by email to ra-pmmb@pa.gov within 30 days after publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*. Anyone who requires this information in a different format may call (717) 787-4194 or (800) 654-5984 (the PA relay service for TDD users).

Robert N. Barley Chairman

Pennsylvania Milk Board Proposed Regulations 7 Pa. Code Ch. 150 – Milk Marketing Fees Identification No. 47-21

Instructions for submitting public comments to the Agency

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions, support, or objections about this proposed rulemaking to the Board, to the attention of Doug Eberly, Chief Counsel, Pennsylvania Milk Board, 2301 N. Cameron Street, Harrisburg, PA 17110, or by email to ra-pmmb@pa.gov within 30 days after publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*. Anyone who requires this information in a different format may call (717) 787-4194 or (800) 654-5984 (the PA relay service for TDD users).

PENNSYLVANIA MILK BOARD PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO 7 PA. CODE CHAPTER 150

LICENSE FEES OF MILK DEALERS

§ 150.12. Hundredweight fees.

- (a) In addition to the fixed fee imposed under § 150.11 (relating to fixed fees), a milk dealer that was licensed for the entire calendar year preceding license renewal shall pay an annual hundredweight fee as set forth in paragraphs (1) and (2).
- (1) For milk for which the Board has fixed a minimum wholesale or retail price, received, produced or brought into this Commonwealth during the calendar year preceding the period for which the license is issued, the fee is \$.075 \$.060 per hundredweight.
- (2) For milk for which the Board has not fixed a minimum wholesale or retail price, received, produced or brought into this Commonwealth during the calendar year preceding the period for which the license is issued, the fee is \$.0071 \$.0064 per hundredweight.
- (b) In addition to the fixed fee imposed under § 150.11, a milk dealer that was not licensed for the entire calendar year preceding license application or renewal shall pay a monthly hundredweight fee as set forth in paragraphs (1) and (2). Monthly payments shall continue until the milk dealer has been licensed for an entire calendar year and for each month thereafter until the next license year begins. Annual payments shall then commence under subsection (a).
- (1) For milk for which the Board has fixed a minimum wholesale or retail price, received, produced or brought into this Commonwealth during the preceding month, the fee is \$.075 \$.060 per hundredweight.
- (2) For milk for which the Board has not fixed a minimum wholesale or retail price, received, produced or brought into this Commonwealth during the preceding month, the fee is \$.0071 \$.0064 per hundredweight.

LICENSE FEES OF MILK SUBDEALERS

§ 150.22. Quart-equivalent fee.

(a) In addition to the fixed fee imposed under § 150.21(b) (relating to fixed fees), an applicant for annual renewal of a subdealer's license shall pay an annual quart-equivalent fee calculated by dividing the total quarts of milk sold during the previous calendar year by the number of months in which the subdealer engaged in business. The Board will assess the fee in accordance with the following schedule:

Ave. Qts. Sold Per Month Annual Fee

120,000—149,999 **250** 200

From: Kerry Golden
To: Eberly, Douglas

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]: Milk Board proposed regulation

Date: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 10:15:02 AM

Independent Regulatory Review Commission

August 28, 2024

Hello Doug,

Received on behalf of Chairman Dan Moul.

Cheers, Kerry

Ms. Kerry Golden | Executive Director (R)

Agriculture and Rural Affairs Committee

Manager | Department of Policy and Legislative Services
Pennsylvania House of Representatives
331 Ryan Office Building
(717) 787-3396 | kgolden@pahousegop.com

From: Eberly, Douglas <deberly@pa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 10:09 AM
To: Kerry Golden <Kgolden@pahousegop.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL]: Milk Board proposed regulation

Kerry,

As discussed, the proposed regulation.

If you'd be so kind as to send me a reply acknowledging receipt, I'd appreciate it.

Thanks.

Doug

Doug Eberly | Chief Counsel Pennsylvania Milk Board

2301 North Cameron Street | Harrisburg PA 17110

Phone: 717.836.3115 | Fax: 717.705.2712

deberly@pa.gov

Board Office Hours:

Monday and Friday – Closed (Open for Advance Appointment Only) Tuesday through Thursday – 8:00 am to 4:00 pm All Staff available Monday through Friday via email and telephone.

From: Zeiders, Destiny
To: Eberly, Douglas

Subject: Re: Milk Board proposed regulation

Date: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 10:17:17 AM

Independent Regulatory
Review Commission
August 28, 2024

Received Thanks

Get Outlook for iOS

From: Eberly, Douglas <deberly@pa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 10:09:04 AM **To:** Zeiders, Destiny <DZeiders@pahouse.net> **Subject:** Milk Board proposed regulation

Destiny,

As discussed, the proposed regulation.

If you'd be so kind as to send me a reply acknowledging receipt, I'd appreciate it.

Thanks.

Doug

Doug Eberly | Chief Counsel Pennsylvania Milk Board 2301 North Cameron Street | Harrisburg PA 17110 Phone: 717.836.3115 | Fax: 717.705.2712 deberly@pa.gov

Board Office Hours:

Monday and Friday – Closed (Open for Advance Appointment Only) Tuesday through Thursday – 8:00 am to 4:00 pm All Staff available Monday through Friday via email and telephone.

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any use of this information other than by the intended recipient is prohibited. If you receive this message in error, please send a reply e-mail to the sender and delete the material from any and all computers. Unintended transmissions shall not constitute waiver of the attorney-client or any other privilege.

Independent Regulatory Review Commission

August 28, 2024

From: Guthrie, Lily
To: Eberly, Douglas

Subject: RE: Milk Board proposed regulation

Date: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 11:48:17 AM

Received. Thank you!

Gratefully,

Lily Guthrie

Executive Director Agriculture & Rural Affairs Committee Senator Elder Vogel, Jr. 47th Senatorial District 717-787-3076

From: Eberly, Douglas <deberly@pa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 10:06 AM

To: Guthrie, Lily < lguthrie@pasen.gov> **Subject:** Milk Board proposed regulation

Lily,

Sending the proposed regulation today hoping you'll see it. The House executive directors are only available til noon or so today for the next week.

In any event, if you'd be so kind as to reply today acknowledging receipt, I'd appreciate it.

Thanks.

Doug

Doug Eberly | Chief Counsel Pennsylvania Milk Board 2301 North Cameron Street | Harrisburg PA 17110 Phone: 717.836.3115 | Fax: 717.705.2712 deberly@pa.gov

Board Office Hours:

Monday and Friday – Closed (Open for Advance Appointment Only) Tuesday through Thursday – 8:00 am to 4:00 pm All Staff available Monday through Friday via email and telephone.

From: Evans, William To: Eberly, Douglas

Subject: Re: Milk Board proposed regulation Date:

Wednesday, August 28, 2024 10:21:03 AM

Independent Regulatory Review Commission August 28, 2024

Received today. Thank you

Bill Evans

Get Outlook for Android

From: Eberly, Douglas <deberly@pa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 10:03:48 AM To: Evans, William <wgevans@pasenate.com> Subject: Milk Board proposed regulation

| EXTERNAL EMAIL |

Bill,

As discussed, the proposed regulation.

If you'd be so kind as to send me a reply acknowledging receipt, I'd appreciate it.

Thanks.

Doug

Doug Eberly | Chief Counsel Pennsylvania Milk Board 2301 North Cameron Street | Harrisburg PA 17110 Phone: 717.836.3115 | Fax: 717.705.2712 deberly@pa.gov

Board Office Hours:

Monday and Friday – Closed (Open for Advance Appointment Only) Tuesday through Thursday – 8:00 am to 4:00 pm All Staff available Monday through Friday via email and telephone.

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any use of this information other than by the intended recipient is prohibited. If you receive this message in error, please send a reply e-mail to the sender and delete the material from any and all computers. Unintended transmissions shall not constitute waiver of the attorney-client or any other privilege.

This message and any attachment may contain privileged or confidential information intended solely for the use of the person to whom it is addressed. If the reader is not the intended

Independent Regulatory
Review Commission
August 28, 2024

From: Bulletin
To: Eberly, Douglas

Subject: [External] RE: Pennsylvania Milk Board Proposed Regulation 47-21

Date: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 1:04:58 PM

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown senders. To report suspicious email, use the <u>Report Phishing</u> button in Outlook.

Good afternoon Doug,

We have received Proposed Regulation 47-21. Someone from our office will be in touch to schedule it for publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

Thank you!

Ernest L. Engvall | Legal Assistant eengvall@palrb.us | 717.783.1530 Legislative Reference Bureau Code and Bulletin Office

From: Eberly, Douglas <deberly@pa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 12:54 PM

To: Bulletin <bulletin@palrb.us>

Subject: Pennsylvania Milk Board Proposed Regulation 47-21

You don't often get email from <u>deberly@pa.gov</u>. <u>Learn why this is important</u>

Good afternoon,

Attached is Pennsylvania Milk Board Proposed Regulation 47-21. Word versions of the Preamble and Annex A are also attached.

Also attached are the receipt acknowledgements from each of the Ag Committee Executive Directors.

If you'd be so kind as to acknowledge receipt, I will then forward to IRRC and all will be delivered today.

Thanks.

Doug

Independent Regulatory Review Commission

August 28, 2024

Doug Eberly | Chief Counsel Pennsylvania Milk Board 2301 North Cameron Street | Harrisburg PA 17110 Phone: 717.836.3115 | Fax: 717.705.2712

deberly@pa.gov

Board Office Hours:

Monday and Friday – Closed (Open for Advance Appointment Only) Tuesday through Thursday – 8:00 am to 4:00 pm All Staff available Monday through Friday via email and telephone.

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any use of this information other than by the intended recipient is prohibited. If you receive this message in error, please send a reply e-mail to the sender and delete the material from any and all computers. Unintended transmissions shall not constitute waiver of the attorney-client or any other privilege.

TRANSMITTAL SHEET FOR REGULATIONS SUBJECT TO THE REGULATORY REVIEW ACT

I.D. NUMBER: 47-21	RECEIVED					
SUBJECT: Transactions between dealers and producers: Payment	Independent Regulatory Review Commission					
AGENCY: Pennsylvania Milk Board	August 28, 2024					
TYPE OF REGULATION						
(XX) Proposed Regulation						
() Final Regulation						
() Final Regulation with Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Omitted						
) 120-day Emergency Certification of the Attorney General						
) 120-day Emergency Certification of the Governor						
() Delivery of Tolled Regulation						
() With Revisions () Without Revisions						
FILING OF REGULATION						
DATE SIGNATURE DESIGNATION						
HOUSE COMMITTEE -						
MAJORITY CHAIR						
MINORITY CHAIR						
SENATE COMMITTEE -						
MAJORITY CHAIR						
MINORITY CHAIR						
INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVI	EW COMISSION					
ATTORNEY GENERAL (for Final Omit	ted only)					
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU	(for Proposed only)					