

**ED, CharterRegs**

From: Bruce Keeler <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 11:20 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Bruce Keeler

258 McKinley Rd, Beaver Falls, PA 15010, USA

every_knee_shall_bow@hotmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Susan Walker <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 11:18 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Susan Walker

1716 Walston Rd, Walston, PA 15781, USA

swalker8690@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Valerie Dalton <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 10:28 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Valerie Dalton

2 Airy St, Wilkes-Barre, PA 18702, USA

valerieskids@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Brittney Wood <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 10:20 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Brittney Wood

8 New St, Wilkes-Barre, PA 18705, USA

aerogirl0703@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Lori Redifer <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 10:01 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Lori Redifer

11 Eastbrooke Dr, Ephrata, PA 17522, USA

loriredifer@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Erica Wren <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 9:48 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Erica Wren

308 Hanover St, Warrior Run, PA 18706, USA

Erica.wren622@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Kim Wills <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 9:36 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Kim Wills

21 Ketchum St, Wilkes-Barre Township, PA 18702, USA

Kimberly.a.wills@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Ceresa Morsaint <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 9:22 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Ceresa Morsaint

1044 Kentucky Blue Dr, West Mifflin, PA 15122, USA

cmorsaint21@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Trisha Tabron <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 9:15 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,
Trisha Tabron
Wilkes-Barre, PA, USA
Tnforde@yahoo.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Jennifer Kraft <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 8:52 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Kraft

3677 W Crawford Ave, Dunbar, PA 15431, USA

jcrist82@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Taryn Rook <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 8:43 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Taryn Rook

1194 Oakdale Dr, Sanatoga, PA 19464, USA

Tarynrook@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Susan Stoddard <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 8:42 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Susan Stoddard

26 Fairfield Dr, Wilkes-Barre, PA 18702, USA

susan.stoddard@bearcreekschool.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Jami Slivinski <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 8:17 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Jami Slivinski

77 S Hancock St, Wilkes-Barre, PA 18702, USA

Jamislivinski@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Donna Campbell <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 8:11 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Donna Campbell

113 Hanover St, Wilkes-Barre, PA 18702, USA

DonnaLeeWC192@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Danielle Cuthbertson <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 7:34 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,
Danielle Cuthbertson
38 Doe Run Ln, Pottstown, PA 19464, USA
Dtcuth@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Amanda Ammermann <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 7:25 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Amanda Ammermann

113 Prospect St, Wilkes-Barre, PA 18702, USA

Amanda.olejar@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Francesca Klinger <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 7:19 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Francesca Klinger

290 Lyndwood Ave, Wilkes-Barre, PA 18706, USA

Fklinger@reach.connectionsacademy.org

ED, CharterRegs

From: Amanda Plisko <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 7:14 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Amanda Plisko

292 S Sheridan St, Wilkes-Barre, PA 18702, USA

Amandaplisko@aol.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: James Mcfarland <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 6:55 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,
James Mcfarland
166 5th St, Wyoming, PA 18644, USA
jjmsoccer@aol.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Matthew Carey <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 6:51 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Matthew Carey

7337 Lawndale Ave, Philadelphia, PA 19111, USA

careym@uphs.UPenn.edu

ED, CharterRegs

From: Jennifer Fehrman <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 6:06 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Fehrman

740 Jumper Rd, Wilkes-Barre, PA 18702, USA

jennfherman@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Melissa Beck <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 5:58 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Melissa Beck

826 Hillcrest Cir, Wexford, PA 15090, USA

3boysent@consolidated.net

ED, CharterRegs

From: Shannon Lai <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 5:56 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Shannon Lai

2437 Pine Run Rd, Laurel Run, PA 18706, USA

Shannymommy@yahoo.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Cedric Houm <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 5:44 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,
Cedric Houm
Tamaqua, PA 18252, USA
Sircedric@verizon.net

ED, CharterRegs

From: Leo Dragon <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 5:35 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Leo Dragon

55 Black Bear Pass, Bear Creek Village, PA 18702, USA

Dragonleo17@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Cheryl Doyle <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 5:22 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Doyle

155 Paschall Mill Rd, West Grove, PA 19390, USA

agra638@comcast.net

ED, CharterRegs

From: Laura Stclair <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 5:12 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Laura Stclair

1 Terrace Dr, Wilkes-Barre, PA 18705, USA

Laura.stclair@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Marisa Swiderski <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 4:32 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Marisa Swiderski

842 N Charlotte St, Pottstown, PA 19464, USA

tiobinpt@yahoo.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Linda Furlong <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 4:13 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Linda Furlong

315 Roesch Ave, Oreland, PA 19075, USA

lfurlong85@verizon.net

ED, CharterRegs

From: Kaile Fenchak <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 3:15 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Kaile Fenchak

204 Turnpike Ave, Clearfield, PA 16830, USA

Kailestreeter2@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Suellen Fitzsimmons <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 2:55 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Suellen Fitzsimmons

3533 Forest Rd, Bethel Park, PA 15102, USA

fitzphotos.suellen@verizon.net

ED, CharterRegs

From: Nancy Dingman <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 2:52 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Nancy Dingman

167 Escape Route, Renovo, PA 17764, USA

dingmnn@aol.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Ralph Wilhide <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 2:36 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Ralph Wilhide

15200 Kutztown Rd, Kutztown, PA 19530, USA

rwilhide@ctrestflooring.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Charles Leskowak <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 2:33 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Charles Leskowak

1531 Hatteras St, Pittsburgh, PA 15212, USA

troyhillcowboy@icloud.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Robert Pike <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 2:30 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,
Robert Pike
1334 Limestone Rd, Oxford, PA 19363, USA
spiker59@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: James Stan <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 2:15 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,
James Stan
1000 4th St, Baden, PA 15005, USA
Staggerlee183@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Pamela Andrews <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 2:15 PM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Pamela Andrews

3306 Vernon Ave, Pittsburgh, PA 15227, USA

Pamklan3306@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Deborah Heckendorn <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 10:36 AM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Deborah Heckendorn

1287 Alcorn Rd, Mayport, PA 16240, USA

Soapygoat814@gmail.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: William Duson <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 7:39 AM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

William Duson

1702 68th Ave, Philadelphia, PA 19126, USA

williamduson@yahoo.com

ED, CharterRegs

From: Jay Rafferty <noreply@citizenwindow.io>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 7:17 AM
To: ED, CharterRegs
Subject: [External] Opposition to Regulation #6-349: Charter Schools and Cyber Charter Schools

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown sources. To report suspicious email, forward the message as an attachment to CWOPA_SPAM@pa.gov.

Dear Secretary Ortega and the Pennsylvania Department of Education,

Year after year, we have been fighting Gov. Wolf's attempts to defund our schools and make it harder for them to operate. This proposed regulation picks winners and losers in the public education system, and public charter school students lose the most.

I am opposed to the proposed Regulation #6-349 on charter and cyber charter schools for many reasons. Here are a few:

- 1.) Charter schools are required to submit an application each time they would like to renew, expand or open a school, but the regulation allows school districts to have subjective standards for the application content and their own justifications for approval or rejection. Instead of standards that promote quality and fairness, this regulation opens the door for districts to institute measures that hamper charter school growth.
- 2.) The regulation would require charter schools to use random selection for student enrollment. A school should be able to work with families to prioritize and enroll students with needs the school is best suited to meeting.
- 3.) Charter schools already follow the same reporting and auditing standards as district schools. The regulation proposes that public charter schools be required to comply with standards in addition to those required for public district schools.
- 4.) PDE disburses my taxes to the school districts, who are responsible for accurately paying out the funds to each school in their district, including public charter schools. On average, each year school districts keep 25% of the tax funds allocated per enrolled charter school student. Of the remaining 75%, there are more than 14,000 delays in payment to charter schools that are reported by charter schools to PDE annually. The proposed regulation aims to reduce those requests by making it harder to file a request, rather than addressing the problem with the payment system. This lack of transparency and efficiency is government service at its worst, and each year these public charter schools waste time and resources chasing funds the law allocates per each enrolled student in their schools. Identify and address the cause of recurring delayed payments, and fix the system.
- 5.) School staff and health care are good things. I understand the regulation seeks to make sure charter school teachers and employees can receive healthcare benefits and I appreciate that. However, my charter school won't ever receive the type of group insurance rates that the big schools and school districts provide. A different approach is necessary than the one laid out in this requirement.

The majority of Pennsylvania's charter school students are underserved. Whether it's a failing school, lack of resources or their emotional and learning needs were simply not being met in the school district, charter schools are serving a population that needed another option. You cannot treat these students, parents and educators as second-class citizens.

These proposed regulations should be rescinded, and any potential reforms to charter schools should be reviewed and voted on by the Legislature and signed into law by the governor. At a minimum, we need more time to read and understand the impacts of this regulation to comment. Thirty days is not enough. The people these proposals will impact

the most are charter school administrators, teachers, parents and students, folks who simply do not have the time and expertise that the government bureaucracy has to review and digest these proposals quickly. The comment period should be extended, and regulators should take the time to hear directly from Pennsylvania's families and students with statewide listening sessions.

Sincerely,

Jay Rafferty

1093 Parks Rd, Corsica, PA 15829, USA

jrafferty@tmvcontrol.com