


2806 FORM LETTER C -
DISAPPROVAL 1-6 #2806

From: Thomas Starner [tstarner@puritanproducts.com]
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2010 10:04 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.

The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Thomas Starner
Puritan Products, Inc.

Cooper, Kathy

2806

From: Matt Campbell [mattcampbell23@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 2:54 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation

Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.

The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Matt Campbell
Cabot Supermetals, Boyertown PA

Cooper, Kathy

From: Jim Realbuto [james_realbuto@cabot-corp.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 2:56 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation

Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.

The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Jim Realbuto
Cabot Supermetals

Cooper, Kathy

From: Jeffrey Hussar [jeffrey_hussar@cabot-corp.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 3:02 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation

Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.

The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Hussar
Cabot Corp.

Cooper, Kathy

From: Tim Knapp [timothy_knapp@cabot-corp.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2010 8:47 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation

Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.


The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Tim Knapp
Cabot Supermetals

#2806

From: Brad Okoniewski [bradley_okoniewski@cabot-corp.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2010 10:39 AM
To: IRRC
Subject: Stop DEP's Ch. 95 Total Dissolved Solids Regulation



Dear IRRC Commissioners,

Please vote to disapprove DEP's proposed amendments to the Total Dissolved Solids regulations that are part of Ch. 95 Wastewater Treatment.

DEP has failed to provide the detailed fiscal impact study as requested by IRRC. Likewise, DEP's shift to a watershed based approach does not address the requirement in Section 5 of the Clean Streams Law that requires a study of the economic impact on the Commonwealth.

The continued lack of clarity in the Final Form Rule is a great concern to those entities that will be required to comply with the rule. This is a point of concern that has been commented on throughout the regulatory process and DEP continues to fail to adequately address the issue.

It is clear from the continued questions and legal concerns that the Final Rule has not been developed based on sound data, continues to be confusing, lacks crucial definitions, creates legal questions, and relies on yet to be developed guidance documents that do not carry the force of law to make fundamental legal and policy decisions. For these reasons, I urge IRRC to disapprove the Final Rule so that the Environmental Quality Board (the EQB) will re-examine the issues presented more carefully and clarify the language and concepts laid out in the Final Rule.

Sincerely,
Brad Okoniewski
Cabot Corporation - Boyertown, PA